

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>				1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1   4	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0003		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 21-Nov-2003		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. 37130963		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER, CARDEROCK DOROTHY JONES, CODE 3352 JONESD@NSWCCD.NAVY.MIL 5001 SOUTH BROAD STREET PHILADELPHIA PA 19112-1403		CODE N65540		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6)  <b>See Item 6</b>		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N65540-03-R-0071	
				X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 09-Sep-2003	
						10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
<b>11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended.  Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
<b>12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)</b>							
<b>13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.</b>							
A.THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B.THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C.THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D.OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
<b>14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION</b> (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) To clarify questions that were submitted from prospective offerors.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED	
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)				BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		21-Nov-2003	

## SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

1. Page 3, Section B, Labor Categories, the government has straight time and overtime hours allocated. There is no indication of a percentage of time that the government foresees for on-site contractor support, is this correct?

Answer: This question was answered in Amendment 0001, see question number 2.

2. Page 5, Section B, item 7 of the RFP identifies the CDNWSC Supply Officer as the delivery order ombudsman. That appears to conflict with page 42, paragraph (h) of clause CAR-I17, which says that the ombudsman is the Navy Competition Advocate General. Please explain.

Answer: Item 7 is deleted in its entirety.

3. Section L does not indicate if the government has a specific font size that they would like. Is it safe to assume that the offeror can go no lower than 10pt font?

Answer: The minimum font size is 10 pitch.

4. Page 55, Section L, Corporate Experience you have requested 6 work examples related to 60 Hz Electric Power Generation and Distribution System support/modernization and 4 work examples of 400 Hz Electric Power Conversion Equipment and DC motor/generator system support/modernization. Totaling 10 work examples. Some of our work examples cover both 60 Hz and 400 Hz items and as such can we assume that we would only need 9 examples versus 10, since one covers both?

Answer: No, the requirement as called outlines the solicitation remains unchanged.

5. Page 55, Section L, Are the six 60 Hz and the four 400 Hz "work examples" asked for on page 55 of the RFP the same or something in addition to the corporate experience 1-9 format requested.

Answer: They are the same.

6. Page 55, Section L calls for Corporate Experience to go back no more than five years and Past Performance to go back no more than three years. These two should be the same.

Answer: The experience called out under the solicitation remains unchanged.

7. Section L, Past Performance:

(a) Calls for an example of 60 Hz generator and 400 Hz DC motor generator repairs. Repairs are not specifically called out in the Statement of Work and should not be required as an example.

Answer: Amend to read 50 Hz Ship Service Generator and switchgear support, 400 Hz Conversion equipment support which includes motor generators and static frequency converters, and DC generator/motor generator support. Support is defined in section 3.0 through 3.9.

(b) Calls for support of the FFG Modernization Program, LHA Mid Life, and LPD 4 Class Survivability Programs (Higher rating for ship service and diesel generators and 400 Hz static frequency converters.) The Statement of Work does not call out FFG 7 Modernization Program, LHA Mid Life and LPD 4 Class Survivability Programs and should not be required example.

Answer: Amend to read support of US Navy fleet modernization programs (ex. FFG-7 Modernization program, LPD-4 Class Survivability program) Higher rating for 60 Hz Ship Service and Emergency Diesel generator and switchgear upgrades and 400 Hz Motor Generator Set improvements.

(c) Calls for DC Electric Power Systems work (Higher rating for degaussing systems, uninterruptible power supplies, DC gas turbine generators and motor generators.) The Statement of Work doesn't call out any of these items and they should not be required as examples.

Answer: Unchanged. Statement of Work states DC electric power systems. Degaussing system, Uninterruptible Power Supplies (UPS) and DC gas turbines and motor generators are all DC electric power systems installed on Navy ships and are relevant to the Statement of Work.

8. Page 55, Section L, In the original RFP, the nine items listed were under the "Corporate Experience Section". Amendment 0001 to the subject solicitation changed the requirement to provide information on the 9 items as part of Past Performance?

This is a major change to this section and it is not understood. The information is actually not applicable to "Past Performance" because it would cause confusion if compared to the contract as a whole. On page 56, the items (a) to (g) for "Past Performance" requires a sampling of work accomplished by the contractor on..."previous efforts". "Corporate Experience" should only be used by evaluators to determine how the contractor's experience relate to the Statement of Work (SOW) and not "Past Performance. Section M was not changed by Amendment 0001 to reflect this significant change to Section L.

Please explain the Government's intention regarding this change to Section L of the subject solicitation? It is recommended that Government leave the 9 items in "Corporate Experience", Sections L and M as originally stated.

Answer: The 9 items to be provided are part of the Corporate Experience Section.

9. Page 56, Section L, Past Performance you have requested a sampling of work accomplished...Do you want the contractor to provide a sample product in the form of a detailed description (narrative) of the work performed as it relates to items a-g, or does the Government want the actual document(s) that were submitted to the Government as technical products?

Answer: No documents need to be submitted, a narrative is sufficient.

10. Page 58, Section L, Key Personnel you have indicated that the program manager labor category is considered non-key, is this correct?

Answer: The Program Manager is amended to reflect that it is a Key Personnel category.

**Key Personnel Labor Category**

**Minimum Number of Resumes**

Senior Mechanical Engineer	2
Project Engineer	2
Program Manager	2
Electrical Engineer	2
Senior Electrical Engineering Technician	2
Electrical Engineering Technician	2

The required minimum number of resumes for key personnel will be 14. One resume cannot be submitted for more than two (2) labor categories.

11. Amendment 0001, Page 3, number 10, you have indicated that it is necessary for the offeror to provide a listing of non-key personnel. With no requirement to display qualifications or experience, you are asking for just a list of proposed personnel. Is this correct?

Answer: The offeror shall provide a listing of non key personnel who will be assigned to the categories of labor specified as non-key. These personnel must meet the qualifications specified in this section to be acceptable and the offeror must certify to this fact.

12. Amendment 0001, Page 3, number 11, the evaluation criteria for personnel are based solely on the resumes provided. Does this mean that the 20 pages (exclusive of resumes) of the personnel will not be scored?

Answer: No, it does not mean that.

According to section M of the original RFP, this was not an evaluation criteria that would be evaluated, FAR 15.304(d) requires that "All factors and significant subfactors that will affect contract award and their relative importance shall be stated clearly in the solicitation (10 U.S.C. 2305(a)(2)(A)(i) and 41 U.S.C. 253a(b)(1) (A)) (see 15.204-5(c))." Based on your response, will the government please redefine the evaluation criteria for the entire proposal.

Answer: Resumes are the only evaluation criteria for personnel as stated in Section M of the RFP.

13. Page 60, Section L, Cost Proposal, you have requested that the cost (G&A, Material Handling, FCCOM, Profit and Total be presented in columns, does this mean that the government would like to see the costs by person? Or rather in summation form for the total labor category?

Answer: The costs by person.

14. Page 60, Section L does not indicate if the government requires a small business plan in conjunction with our cost proposal submission. Can we assume you do want a small business plan in the cost volume?

Answer: Submit the small business plan as an attachment.

15. Page 60, Section L, Facilities, there is no mention of a specific mile radius or traveling distance required to support NSWCCD Code 93, is this correct?

Answer: There is no mile radius required.

16. Page 65, Section M , Evaluation Factors - B. Personnel

The third paragraph is modified to read as follows:

The Senior Electrical Engineer, Senior Mechanical Engineer and Program Manager are equal in value and more importance than Project Engineer, Electrical Engineer, Senior Electrical Engineering Technician, Electrical Engineering Technician and non-key personnel.

17. The questions and answers session has ended.

18. The closing date is extended until 8 December 2003 at 2:00 p.m.